Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/778,043	LILLY ET AL.
Exammer-modeled interview Summary		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Edward Brown</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 August 2004	Time: <u>10am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 32-34		
Prior art documents discussed: US 6273925		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writtecord of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant the instant claim 1 read on a non-cigarette filter such as the intermetallic filter disclosed in US 6273925. It was also noted that claims 32-34 did not require an intermetallic compound hence the rejections would stand. Applicant faxed a set of claims amending the claims to be drawn to a cigarette filter and changing the dependency of claims 32-34 to claims that recite intermettallic compound. A copy of the faxed set of claims is being included.